

Message

From: Kenneth Portier [kenneth.portier@gmail.com]
Sent: 8/25/2020 11:16:40 PM
To: Wong, Diana [Wong.Diana-M@epa.gov]
Subject: Re: FW: Comments on Draft SACC Meeting Minutes and Final Report
Attachments: SACC Asbestos Report Final 20200825 KP.docx

Diana,

I also accepted almost all editorial comments and made a few other minor changes. In the version attached my major changes are highlighted in yellow with associated comments. Take a look and let me know what you think. Also, I don't know how to handle Steven's comments about the public commenters list. Note I will have to fix the recommendations list since I moved two recommendations that were out of place. I think the footnote issue should be able to be handled automatically within Word by updating the numbering. I will check this later.
Ken Portier

On Tue, Aug 25, 2020 at 5:44 PM Wong, Diana <Wong.Diana-M@epa.gov> wrote:

I am accepting the edits in track change.

I will also make changes to the Footnote numbering which I found out was not correct for at least a couple.

I will check if I miss any public comments listed in the report.

I think it is Steve's point 1 and 2 that we need to discuss how to address. Thanks.

Diana

From: Kenneth Portier <kenneth.portier@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, August 25, 2020 1:44 PM
To: Wong, Diana <Wong.Diana-M@epa.gov>
Subject: Re: FW: Comments on Draft SACC Meeting Minutes and Final Report

Diana,

I received this and will review. On call with EPA SAP Virtual Meeting for upcoming SAP meeting on NAMs. Will call when meeting ends.

Ken P.

On Tue, Aug 25, 2020 at 1:08 PM Wong, Diana <Wong.Diana-M@epa.gov> wrote:

From: Knott, Steven <Knott.Steven@epa.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, August 25, 2020 12:21 PM
To: Wong, Diana <Wong.Diana-M@epa.gov>
Cc: Hughes, Hayley <hughes.hayley@epa.gov>
Subject: Comments on Draft SACC Meeting Minutes and Final Report

Diana,

Attached are Hayley and my comments on the draft asbestos meeting minutes. Overall, the report looks excellent. Most of the suggestions and comments are minor edits and clarifications. Two more substantive comments that need to be addressed include:

1. The post meeting objection to the idea of a single IUR needs to be addressed more transparently. If this objection was not raised for discussion during the public meeting, then we need to be very transparent in how and why we are including the point in the meeting minutes.
2. There is one instance where the committee recommendation includes what may be considered a regulatory policy recommendation and one instance where the committee uses language that may have a political connotation.

Please let us know if you have any questions working with the Chair to address these comments. Our target date for releasing the report is this Thursday, August 27. If you foresee any difficulty meeting this date, please let us know.

Thanks.

Steve

Steven M. Knott, M.S.

Chemist and Executive Secretary

FIFRA Scientific Advisory Panel and

Science Advisory Committee on Chemicals

U.S. EPA Office of Science Coordination and Policy

Knott.steven@epa.gov

(202) 564-0103